

A local-global principle for integral Kleinian sphere packings

Edna Jones

Rutgers University

September–October 2021

Soddy sphere packings: The construction

Given four mutually tangent spheres with disjoint points of tangency, there are exactly two spheres tangent to the given ones.




Figure: Four tangent spheres.




Figure: Four tangent spheres with two additional tangent spheres.

Soddy sphere packings: The construction




Figure: Four tangent spheres.




Figure: Four tangent spheres with two additional tangent spheres.

Soddy sphere packings: The construction




Figure: Four tangent spheres.




Figure: Four tangent spheres with two additional tangent spheres.




Figure: More tangent spheres.

Soddy sphere packings: The construction




Figure: Four tangent spheres.




Figure: Four tangent spheres with two additional tangent spheres.




Figure: More tangent spheres.





Figure: A Soddy sphere packing.

Soddy sphere packings: The construction



Label on sphere:
 $\text{bend} = 1/\text{radius}$

Figure: An integral Soddy sphere packing made by Nicolas Hannachi.

Soddy sphere packings: The construction




Figure: An integral Soddy sphere packing made by Nicolas Hannachi.

Label on sphere:
 $\text{bend} = 1/\text{radius}$

All of the bends of this Soddy sphere packing are integers.

Soddy sphere packings: The construction




Figure: An integral Soddy sphere packing made by Nicolas Hannachi.

Label on sphere:
 $\text{bend} = 1/\text{radius}$

All of the bends of this Soddy sphere packing are integers.

Which integers appear as bends?

Soddy sphere packings: The construction




Figure: An integral Soddy sphere packing made by Nicolas Hannachi.

Label on sphere:
 $\text{bend} = 1/\text{radius}$

All of the bends of this Soddy sphere packing are integers.

Which integers appear as bends?

Are there any congruence or local obstructions?

Definition (Admissible integers for Soddy sphere packings)

Let \mathcal{P} be an integral Soddy sphere packing.

An integer m is **admissible (or locally represented)** if for every $q \geq 1$

$$m \equiv \text{bend of some sphere in } \mathcal{P} \pmod{q}.$$

Equivalently, m is admissible if m has no local obstructions.

Admissible integers


Theorem (Kontorovich, 2019)

m is admissible in a primitive integral Soddy sphere packing \mathcal{P} if and only if

$$m \equiv 0 \text{ or } \varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) \pmod{3},$$

where $\varepsilon(\mathcal{P}) \in \{\pm 1\}$ depends only on the packing.

Example



m is admissible \iff
 $m \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}.$


A local-global theorem

Theorem (Kontorovich, 2019)

The bends of a fixed primitive integral Soddy sphere packing \mathcal{P} satisfy a local-global principle.

That is, there is an $N_0 = N_0(\mathcal{P})$ so that, if $m > N_0$ and m is admissible, then m is the bend of a sphere in the packing.

Example



If $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$ and m is sufficiently large, then m is the bend of a sphere in the packing.

Proof outline for Soddy sphere packing result

- 1 Show that the automorphism/symmetry group of the Soddy sphere packing contains a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[e^{\pi i/3}])$, and this congruence subgroup maps a particular sphere to itself. This implies that the set of bends contains “primitive” values of a shifted quaternary quadratic form.

Proof outline for Soddy sphere packing result

- 1 Show that the automorphism/symmetry group of the Soddy sphere packing contains a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[e^{\pi i/3}])$, and this congruence subgroup maps a particular sphere to itself. This implies that the set of bends contains “primitive” values of a shifted quaternary quadratic form.
- 2 The shifted quaternary quadratic form gives you enough to work with so that you can quote the result of the circle method to say that every sufficiently large admissible number is represented by the quadratic form without the primitivity restriction.

Proof outline for Soddy sphere packing result

- 1 Show that the automorphism/symmetry group of the Soddy sphere packing contains a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[e^{\pi i/3}])$, and this congruence subgroup maps a particular sphere to itself. This implies that the set of bends contains “primitive” values of a shifted quaternary quadratic form.
- 2 The shifted quaternary quadratic form gives you enough to work with so that you can quote the result of the circle method to say that every sufficiently large admissible number is represented by the quadratic form without the primitivity restriction.
- 3 Show that the singular series (with the primitivity restriction) is bounded away from zero when m is admissible.

Congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$

Definition (Principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$)

For an imaginary quadratic field K , a **principal congruence subgroup** of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of the form

$$\Lambda(\varrho) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\varrho} \right\}$$

for a fixed element ϱ of \mathcal{O}_K .

Congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$

Definition (Principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$)

For an imaginary quadratic field K , a **principal congruence subgroup** of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of the form

$$\Lambda(\varrho) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\varrho} \right\}$$

for a fixed element ϱ of \mathcal{O}_K .

Example (Soddy sphere packing, Kontorovich, 2019)

There exists a sphere $S_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) the congruence subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}) : b, c \equiv 0 \pmod{\varrho} \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[e^{\pi i/3}]$ and $\varrho = 1 + e^{\pi i/3}$.

Kleinian sphere packings

Definition (Kleinian sphere packing)

An $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing \mathcal{P} is **Kleinian** if its limit set is that of a geometrically finite group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$.




Figure: Apollonian circle packing as the limit set of Γ . Figure created by Alex Kontorovich.

Definition (Kleinian sphere packing)

An $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing \mathcal{P} is **Kleinian** if its limit set is that of a geometrically finite group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$.

- Action of $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$ extends continuously to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, the boundary of \mathcal{H}^{n+1} .

Definition (Kleinian sphere packing)

An $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing \mathcal{P} is **Kleinian** if its limit set is that of a geometrically finite group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$.

- Action of $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$ extends continuously to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, the boundary of \mathcal{H}^{n+1} .
- Γ stabilizes \mathcal{P} (i.e., Γ maps \mathcal{P} to itself).

Examples of integral Kleinian sphere packings




Figure: An integral Soddy sphere packing made by Nicolas Hannachi.




Figure: An integral Kleinian (more specifically, an orthoplicial) sphere packing made by Kei Nakamura.




Figure: A fundamental domain of an integral Kleinian sphere packing made by Arseniy (Senia) Sheydvasser.

We want to prove local-global principles for certain integral Kleinian sphere packings, that is, we want to prove the following:
If m is admissible and sufficiently large, then m is a bend of an $(n - 1)$ -sphere in the packing.

Definition (Admissible integers)

Let \mathcal{P} be an integral Kleinian sphere packing.

An integer m is **admissible (or locally represented)** if for every $q \geq 1$

$$m \equiv \text{bend of some } (n - 1)\text{-sphere in } \mathcal{P} \pmod{q}.$$

Theorem (J., 2021+, in progress)

Suppose that

- $n \geq 3$,
- \mathcal{P} is a primitive integral Kleinian $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with an orientation-preserving automorphism group Γ of Möbius transformations,

Theorem (J., 2021+, in progress)

Suppose that

- $n \geq 3$,
- \mathcal{P} is a primitive integral Kleinian $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with an orientation-preserving automorphism group Γ of Möbius transformations,
- there exist $(n - 1)$ -spheres S_0 and S_1 such that S_1 is in \mathcal{P} and is tangent to S_0 , and

Theorem (J., 2021+, in progress)

Suppose that

- $n \geq 3$,
- \mathcal{P} is a primitive integral Kleinian $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with an orientation-preserving automorphism group Γ of Möbius transformations,
- there exist $(n - 1)$ -spheres S_0 and S_1 such that S_1 is in \mathcal{P} and is tangent to S_0 , and
- the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where K is an imaginary quadratic field and \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.

Theorem (J., 2021+, in progress)

Suppose that

- $n \geq 3$,
- \mathcal{P} is a primitive integral Kleinian $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with an orientation-preserving automorphism group Γ of Möbius transformations,
- there exist $(n - 1)$ -spheres S_0 and S_1 such that S_1 is in \mathcal{P} and is tangent to S_0 , and
- the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$, where K is an imaginary quadratic field and \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.

Then every sufficiently large admissible integer is a bend of an $(n - 1)$ -sphere in $\Gamma \cdot S_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}$.

Why should we have a local-global principle?

Theorem (Kim, 2015)

Let \mathcal{P} be a Kleinian $(n - 1)$ -sphere packing with $n \geq 2$. The number of spheres in \mathcal{P} with bend at most N (counted with multiplicity) is asymptotically equal to a constant times N^δ , where $\delta =$ the Hausdorff dimension of the closure of \mathcal{P} .

For us,

$$\delta > n - 1 \geq 2.$$

Thus, we would expect that the multiplicity of a given admissible bend up to N is roughly $N^{\delta-1} \gg N$, so we should expect that every sufficiently large admissible number to be represented.

Proof outline of my theorem in progress

- 1 The assumptions that the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and that $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 imply that the set of bends of \mathcal{P} contains “primitive” values of a quadratic polynomial in 4 variables.

Proof outline of my theorem in progress

- 1 The assumptions that the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and that $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 imply that the set of bends of \mathcal{P} contains “primitive” values of a quadratic polynomial in 4 variables.
- 2 This quadratic polynomial in 4 variables should give you enough to work with so that you can apply the circle method to show that every sufficiently large admissible number is represented as a bend.

Isometries of \mathcal{H}^{n+1}

- $\text{Isom}^0(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$: group of orientation-preserving isometries of \mathcal{H}^{n+1}
- $\text{Möb}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$: group of orientation-preserving Möbius transformations acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$
- $\text{Möb}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}) \cong \text{Isom}^0(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$

Isometries of \mathcal{H}^{n+1}

- $\text{Isom}^0(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$: group of orientation-preserving isometries of \mathcal{H}^{n+1}
- $\text{Möb}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$: group of orientation-preserving Möbius transformations acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$
- $\text{Möb}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}) \cong \text{Isom}^0(\mathcal{H}^{n+1})$

$$\text{Isom}^0(\mathcal{H}^{n+1}) : \mathcal{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$$

$$\text{Möb}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}) : \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$z \mapsto g(z) = (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1},$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSL}(2, C_{n-1})$$

a, b, c, d in a Clifford algebra C_{n-1} with some restrictions.

Definition (Clifford algebra)

The **Clifford algebra** C_m is the real associative algebra generated by m elements i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m subject to the relations:

- $i_\ell^2 = -1$ ($1 \leq \ell \leq m$)
- $i_h i_\ell = -i_\ell i_h$ ($1 \leq h, \ell \leq m, h \neq \ell$)

Examples (C_m for some m)

- $C_0 = \mathbb{R}$
- $C_1 \cong \mathbb{C}$, $z_0 + z_1 i_1 \leftrightarrow z_0 + z_1 i$
- $C_2 \cong \mathbb{H}$, $z_0 + z_1 i_1 + z_2 i_2 + z_{12} i_1 i_2 \leftrightarrow z_0 + z_1 i + z_2 j + z_{12} k$
- $C_3 \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$

$$V_{n-1} := \{v_0 + v_1 i_1 + \cdots + v_{n-1} i_{n-1}\} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$$
$$v_0 + v_1 i_1 + \cdots + v_{n-1} i_{n-1} \leftrightarrow (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$$

$$\widehat{V}_{n-1} := V_{n-1} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$$

Example ($n = 2$)

$\text{Möb}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^2}) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^2} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ via

$$z \mapsto g(z) = (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1},$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

Example ($n = 2$)

$\text{Möb}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^2}) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^2} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ via

$$z \mapsto g(z) = (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1},$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

Restrictions make explicitly stating what $\text{Möb}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is isomorphic to trickier for $n > 2$.

(For example, $\text{Möb}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^3}) \not\cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{H})$, even though $C_2 \cong \mathbb{H}$.)

Definition (Inversive coordinates)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , we define the **inversive coordinates** of S to be the ordered triple $(\beta(S), \hat{\beta}(S), \xi(S))$, where they are defined as follows:

Definition (Inversive coordinates)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , we define the **inversive coordinates** of S to be the ordered triple $(\beta(S), \hat{\beta}(S), \xi(S))$, where they are defined as follows:

- If S is an oriented $(n - 1)$ -sphere, then the **bend** $\beta(S)$ of S is $1/(\text{radius of } S)$, taken to be positive if S is positively oriented and negative otherwise.

If S is a hyperplane, then its bend is $\beta(S) = 0$.

Definition (Inversive coordinates)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , we define the **inversive coordinates** of S to be the ordered triple $(\beta(S), \hat{\beta}(S), \xi(S))$, where they are defined as follows:

- If S is an oriented $(n - 1)$ -sphere, then the **bend** $\beta(S)$ of S is $1/(\text{radius of } S)$, taken to be positive if S is positively oriented and negative otherwise.

If S is a hyperplane, then its bend is $\beta(S) = 0$.

- The **co-bend** $\hat{\beta}(S)$ of S is the bend of the reflection of S in the unit $(n - 1)$ -sphere.

Definition (Inversive coordinates)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , we define the **inversive coordinates** of S to be the ordered triple $(\beta(S), \hat{\beta}(S), \xi(S))$, where they are defined as follows:

- If S is an oriented $(n - 1)$ -sphere, then the **bend** $\beta(S)$ of S is $1/(\text{radius of } S)$, taken to be positive if S is positively oriented and negative otherwise.

If S is a hyperplane, then its bend is $\beta(S) = 0$.

- The **co-bend** $\hat{\beta}(S)$ of S is the bend of the reflection of S in the unit $(n - 1)$ -sphere.
- If S is an oriented $(n - 1)$ -sphere, then the **bend-center** $\xi(S) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of S is $\beta(S) \times (\text{center of } S)$.

If S is a hyperplane, then its bend-center is the unique unit normal vector to S pointing in the direction of the interior of S .

Inversive-coordinate matrix

Definition (Inversive-coordinate matrix)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , the **inversive-coordinate matrix** of S is the 2×2 matrix

$$M_S := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}(S) & \xi(S) \\ \xi(S) & \beta(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$


Inversive-coordinate matrix

Definition (Inversive-coordinate matrix)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , the **inversive-coordinate matrix** of S is the 2×2 matrix

$$M_S := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}(S) & \xi(S) \\ \xi(S) & \beta(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example



- $\beta(S) = \frac{1}{2}$


Inversive-coordinate matrix

Definition (Inversive-coordinate matrix)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , the **inversive-coordinate matrix** of S is the 2×2 matrix

$$M_S := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}(S) & \xi(S) \\ \xi(S) & \beta(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example



- $\beta(S) = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\hat{\beta}(S) = 6$


Inversive-coordinate matrix

Definition (Inversive-coordinate matrix)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , the **inversive-coordinate matrix** of S is the 2×2 matrix

$$M_S := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}(S) & \xi(S) \\ \xi(S) & \beta(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example



- $\beta(S) = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\hat{\beta}(S) = 6$
- $\xi(S) = \frac{1}{2}(4, 0) = (2, 0)$
 $\sim 2 + 0i = 2$


Inversive-coordinate matrix

Definition (Inversive-coordinate matrix)

Given an oriented generalized $(n - 1)$ -sphere S , the **inversive-coordinate matrix** of S is the 2×2 matrix

$$M_S := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}(S) & \xi(S) \\ \xi(S) & \beta(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example



- $\beta(S) = \frac{1}{2}$
- $\hat{\beta}(S) = 6$
- $\xi(S) = \frac{1}{2}(4, 0) = (2, 0)$
 $\sim 2 + 0i = 2$
- $M_S = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$

Lemma (J., 2021+, proved)

The group $SL(2, C_{n-1})$ acts on the set of inversive-coordinate matrices by

$$g.M := gM\bar{g}^T$$

for an inversive-coordinate matrix M and $g \in SL(2, C_{n-1})$. The group action of $SL(2, C_{n-1})$ on the set of inversive-coordinate matrices is equivalent to the group action of $SL(2, C_{n-1})$ on the set of oriented generalized $(n-1)$ -spheres. That is, if S is an oriented generalized $(n-1)$ -sphere and $g \in SL(2, C_{n-1})$, then

$$M_{gS} = g.M_S.$$

Extends work of Stange ($n = 2$), Sheydvasser ($n = 3$), and Litman & Sheydvasser ($n = 4$).

Corollary (J., 2021+, proved)

Let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, C_{n-1}),$$

and let S_0 be an oriented generalized $(n-1)$ -sphere with the inversive coordinates $(\beta, \hat{\beta}, \xi)$.

Then gS_0 has the following inversive coordinates:

- bend $\beta(gS_0) = \hat{\beta}|c|^2 + d\bar{\xi}\bar{c} + c\xi\bar{d} + \beta|d|^2$
- co-bend $\hat{\beta}(gS_0) = \hat{\beta}|a|^2 + b\bar{\xi}\bar{a} + a\xi\bar{b} + \beta|b|^2$
- bend-center $\xi(gS_0) = a\hat{\beta}\bar{c} + b\bar{\xi}\bar{c} + a\xi\bar{d} + b\beta\bar{d}$

Quadratic form for Kleinian sphere packings

The assumptions that the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and that $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 imply that the set of bends of \mathcal{P} contains “primitive” values of a quadratic polynomial in 4 variables.

Assume S_0 is the hyperplane with inversive coordinates $(0, 0, -i_{n-1})$ and S_1 is a hyperplane with inversive coordinates $(0, \hat{\beta}_1, i_{n-1})$, $\hat{\beta}_1 > 0$.

Quadratic form for Kleinian sphere packings

The assumptions that the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and that $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 imply that the set of bends of \mathcal{P} contains “primitive” values of a quadratic polynomial in 4 variables.

Assume S_0 is the hyperplane with inversive coordinates $(0, 0, -i_{n-1})$ and S_1 is a hyperplane with inversive coordinates $(0, \hat{\beta}_1, i_{n-1})$, $\hat{\beta}_1 > 0$.

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \quad \varrho \in \mathcal{O}_K, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma,$$
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega) & \varrho(b_0 + b_1\omega) \\ \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega) & 1 + \varrho(d_0 + d_1\omega) \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda[\varrho] < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \cap \Gamma$$

Quadratic form for Kleinian sphere packings

The assumptions that the stabilizer of S_0 in Γ contains (up to conjugacy) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and that $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 imply that the set of bends of \mathcal{P} contains “primitive” values of a quadratic polynomial in 4 variables.

Assume S_0 is the hyperplane with inversive coordinates $(0, 0, -i_{n-1})$ and S_1 is a hyperplane with inversive coordinates $(0, \hat{\beta}_1, i_{n-1})$, $\hat{\beta}_1 > 0$.

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \quad \varrho \in \mathcal{O}_K, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma,$$
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega) & \varrho(b_0 + b_1\omega) \\ \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega) & 1 + \varrho(d_0 + d_1\omega) \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda[\varrho] < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \cap \Gamma$$

$$\beta(\gamma g S_1) = \hat{\beta}_1 |C(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega)) + D\varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)|^2 \\ - Di_{n-1}\bar{C} + Ci_{n-1}\bar{D}$$

Quadratic form for Kleinian sphere packings

$$\beta(\gamma g S_1) = \hat{\beta}_1 |C(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega)) + D\varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)|^2 \\ - Di_{n-1}\bar{C} + Ci_{n-1}\bar{D}$$

\rightsquigarrow

$$f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1) = \hat{\beta}_1 |C(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega)) + D\varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)|^2 \\ - Di_{n-1}\bar{C} + Ci_{n-1}\bar{D}$$

with $a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K. \quad (*)$$

Quadratic form for Kleinian sphere packings

$$\beta(\gamma g S_1) = \hat{\beta}_1 |C(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega)) + D\varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)|^2 - Di_{n-1}\bar{C} + Ci_{n-1}\bar{D}$$

\rightsquigarrow

$$f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1) = \hat{\beta}_1 |C(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega)) + D\varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)|^2 - Di_{n-1}\bar{C} + Ci_{n-1}\bar{D}$$

with $a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K. \quad (*)$$

Want to know which integers are represented by $f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)$ as $\gamma, a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1$ vary subject to coprimality condition (*)

$$R_N(m) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \|\gamma\| \leq T}} \sum_{\substack{a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K}} \mathbf{1}_{\{m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} \Upsilon_X(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1),$$

where $N = T^2 X^2$, T is very small compared to X , Υ_X is a nonnegative bump function so that a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 are of size X , and

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$R_N(m) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \|\gamma\| \leq T}} \sum_{\substack{a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K}} \mathbf{1}_{\{m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} \Upsilon_X(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1),$$

where $N = T^2 X^2$, T is very small compared to X , Υ_X is a nonnegative bump function so that a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 are of size X , and

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Want to know when $R_N(m) > 0$

$$R_N(m) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \|\gamma\| \leq T}} \sum_{\substack{a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K}} \mathbf{1}_{\{m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} \Upsilon_X(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)$$

- Addresses admissibility conditions (make sure singular series isn't too small when m is admissible)
- Uses spectral theory and expander graphs

$$R_N(m) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \|\gamma\| \leq T}} \sum_{a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\{m = f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} \Upsilon_X(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)$$

$(1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K$

- Can be removed using the Möbius function on ideals
- Removal currently uses the fact that \mathcal{O}_K is a PID since f_γ is not invariant over elements of an ideal.

$$R_N(m) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \|\gamma\| \leq T}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1}_{\{m=f_\gamma(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1)\}} \\ a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \Upsilon_X(a_0, a_1, c_0, c_1) \\ (1 + \varrho(a_0 + a_1\omega))\mathcal{O}_K + \varrho(c_0 + c_1\omega)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K$$

- Circle method with a Kloosterman refinement obtains the bulk of the main term and the error term.

- Remove the condition that \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.

Future directions

- Remove the condition that \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.
- Remove condition about $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 .

Future directions

- Remove the condition that \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.
- Remove condition about $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 .
 - Have quadratic polynomial with 8 variables instead of 4.

- Remove the condition that \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.
- Remove condition about $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 .
 - Have quadratic polynomial with 8 variables instead of 4.
 - The coprimality condition becomes a determinant condition.

- Remove the condition that \mathcal{O}_K is a PID.
- Remove condition about $S_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ is tangent to S_0 .
 - Have quadratic polynomial with 8 variables instead of 4.
 - The coprimality condition becomes a determinant condition.
- How large is sufficiently large?

Besides the illustrations previously credited, the illustrations for this talk came from the following paper:

Alex Kontorovich, “The Local-Global Principle for Integral Soddy Sphere Packings,” *Journal of Modern Dynamics*, volume 15, pp. 209-236, 2019, <https://www.aims sciences.org/article/doi/10.3934/jmd.2019019>.

Thank you for listening!